MDBrain | MDProstate

1.1 Akhondi-Asl, A., and Warfield. S. K. (2013). Simultaneous truth and performance level estimation through fusion of probabilistic segmentations. IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging 32, 1840-1852. doi: 10.1I09/TMI.2013.2266258

1.2 Allay, E. E.. Fisher. E.. Iones. S. E., Hara-Cleaver, G, Lee, L-G, and Rudick, R. A. (2013). Reliability of classifying multiple sclerosis disease activity using magnetic resonance imaging in a multiple sclerosis clinic. JAMA Neurol. 70, 338-344. doi: I0.1001/2013.jamaneuroL211

1.3 Battagiini, M.. Rossi, F.» Grove, R. A., Stromillo, M. L, Whitcher, B., Matthews, P. M.. et al. (2014). Automated identification of brain new lesions in multiple sclerosis using subtraction images. I. Magn. Reson. Imaging 39, 1543-1549. doi: 10.1002/jmri .24293

1.4 Baur, G, Denner, S., Wiestler, B., Navab, N., and Albarqouni, S. (2021). Autoencoders for unsupervised anomaly segmentation in brain MR images: a comparative study. Med. Image Anal. 69:101952. doi: 10.1016/j.media.2020.101952

1.5 Bose, M., Heitz. F.» Armspach, J.-P.» Namer, L, Gounot, D., and Rumbach. L (2003). Automatic change detection in multimodal serial MR1: application to multiple sclerosis lesion evolution. NeuroImage 20, 643-656. doi: 10.1016ZS1053-8119(03)00406 3

1.6 Brownlee, W. J., Altmann. D. R.. Prados, F., Miszkiel, K. A.. Eshaghi, A., Gandini Wheeler-Kingshott, Q A., et aL (2019). Early imaging predictors of long-term outcomes in relapse-onset multiple sclerosis. Brain 142. 2276-2287. doi: !0.1093/brain/awzl56

1.7 Carass, A., Roy, log. A., Cuzzocreo. J. L, Magrath, E., Gherman, A., et al. (2017). Longitudinal multiple sclerosis lesion segmentation: resource and challenge. NeuroImage 148,77-102. doi: 10.10I6/j.neuroimage20l6.12.064

1.8 Qtek, 0., Abdulkadir, A., I.ienkamp, S. S.. Brox, T.» and Ronneberger, O. (2016). “3D U-Net learning dense volumetric segmentation from sparse annotation." in International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention (Athens: Springer), 424-432.

1.9 Commowick, O.. Istace, A.. Kain, M.» Laurent. B.. Leray, F., Simon, M.. et al. (2018). Objective evaluation of multiple sclerosis lesion segmentation using a data management and processing infrastructure. Sci. Rep. 8, 1-17. doi: 10.1038/S41598-018-31911-7

1.10 Egger, G, Opfer, R., Wang, C.» Kepp, T.» Sormani, M. P.. Spies, L, et aL (2017). MR1 FLAIR lesion segmentation in multiple sclerosis: does automated segmentation hold up with manual annotation? NeuroImage Clin. 13, 264-270. doi: 10.1016/j.nicl.2016.11.020

1.11 Fartaria, M. I., Kober, T.. Granziera, G, and Cuadra, M. B. (2019). Longitudinal analysis of white matter and cortical lesions in multiple sclerosis. NeuroImage Clin. 23:101938. doi: 10.1016/j.nid.2019.101938

1.12 Filippi. M„ Preziosa, P.» Banwell, B. L, Barkhof, F„ Ciccarelli, O.» De Stefano, N., et aL (2019). Assessment of lesions on magnetic resonance imaging in multiple sclerosis: practical guidelines. Brain 142, 1858-1875. doi: 10.1093/brai n/awzl44

1.13 Ganiler, O., Oliver. A., Diez, Y., Freixenet, L, Vilanova, J. G, Beltran, B., et al. (2014). A subtraction pipeline for automatic detection of new appearing

1.14 multiple sclerosis lesions in longitudinal studies. Neuroradiology 56» 363-374. doi: 10.1007/s00234 014-1343-1

1.15 Garcia-Lorenzo, D., Francis, S., Narayanan, S., Arnold. D. L, and Collins, D. L. (2013). Review of automatic segmentation methods of multiple sclerosis white matter lesions on conventional magnetic resonance imaging. Med. Image Anal. 17. 1-18. doi: 10.1016/j.media.2012.09.004

1.16 Gessert, N., Bengs, M., Kruger. J., Opfer, R., Ostwaldt. A.-G, Manogaran, P., et aL (2020a). 4D deep learning for multiple sclerosis lesion activity segmentation. arXivpreprint arXiv:2004.09216. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2004.09216

1.17 Gessert. N.. Krüger, J., Opfer, R., Ostwaldt, A.-G, Manogaran, P., Kitzler, H. H.. et al. (2020b). Multiple sclerosis lesion activity segmentation with attention-guided two-path CNNs. Comput. Med. Imaging Graph. 84:101772. doi: 10.1016/j.compmedimag.2020.101772

1.18 Henschel. L. Conjeti, S., Estrada, S., Diers, K., Fischl, B., and Reuter, M. (2020). FastSurfer-a fast and accurate deep learning based neuroimaging pipeline. NeuroImage 219:117012. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.l 17012

1.19 Isensee, F., Iaeger, P. F., Kohl S. A., Petersen, J., and Maier-Hein. K. H. (2021). nnU-Net: a self-configuring method for deep learning-based biomedical image segmentation. Nat. Methods 18,203-211. doi: 10.1038/s41592-020-01008 z

1.20 Kruger, J.. Opfer, R., Gessert, N., Ostwaldt, A.-G, Manogaran, P., Kitzler, H. H., et aL (2020). Fully automated longitudinal segmentation of new or enlarged multiple sclerosis lesions using 3D convolutional neural networks. NeuroImage Clin. 28:102445. doi: 10. IO16/j.nid.2O20.102445

1.21 Kuhlmann, T., Ludwin, S., Prat. A., Antel,)., Bruck, W„ and Lassmann, H. (2017). An updated histological classification system for multiple sclerosis lesions. Acta Neuropathol. 133,13-24. doi: 10.1007/s00401-016-1653-y

1.22 Lao, Z.. Shen. D., Liu. D.. Jawad, A. F.» Melhem, E. R„ Launer, L L. et al. (2008). Computer-assisted segmentation of white matter lesions in 3D MR images using support vector machine. Acad. Radiol. 15.300-313. doi: 10.1016/j.acra~2007. 10.012

1.23 Ma. I. (2021). Cutting-edge 3D medical image segmentation methods in 2020: are happy families all alike? arXiv preprint arXiv.2¡01.00232. doi: 10.4855O/arXiv.2101.00232

1.24 Ma. Y., Zhang, G, Cabezas. M., Song, Y., Tang, Z.» Liu, D., et al. (2022). Multiple sclerosis lesion analysis in brain magnetic resonance images: techniques and clinical applications. IEEE I. Biomed. Health Inform. doi: 10.1109/JBHL2022.3151741

1.25 McKinley, R., Wepfer, R., Grander, L, Aschwanden, F.» Fischer, T., Friedli, G, et al. (2020). Automatic detection of lesion load change in multiple sclerosis using convolutional neural networks with segmentation confidence. NeuroImage CHn. 25:102104. doi: 10.1016/j.nid2019.102104

1.26 McKinley, R., Wepfer, R.» Gundersen, T.» Wagner, F., Chan, A„ Wiest, R., et al. (2016). “Nabia-net: A deep dag-like convolutional architecture for biomedical image segmentation," in International Workshop on Brainlesion: Glioma, Multiple Sclerosis, Stroke and Traumatic Brain Injuries (Athens: Springer), 119-128.

1.27 Mortazavi, D., Kouzani, A. Z., and Soltanian-Zadeh, H. (2012). Segmentation of multiple sclerosis lesions in MR images: a review. Neuroradiology 54, 299-320. doi: 10.1007/s00234-011-0886-7

1.28 Ronneberger, O., Fischer, P., and Brox, T. (2015). “U-Net: convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation,” in International Conference on Medical Image Computingand Computer-Assisted Intervention (Munich: Springer), 234-241. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-24574-4.28

1.29 Roy, A. G., Conjeti, S., Navab, N., Wachinger, C„ Initiative, A. D. N., et ah (2019). QuickNAT: a fully convolutional network for quick and accurate segmentation of neuroanatomy. NeuroImage 186,713-727. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.11.042

1.30 Salem, M., Cabezas, M., Valverde, S.» Pareto, D., Oliver, A., Salvi, J., et al. (2018). A supervised framework with intensity subtraction and deformation field features for the detection of new T2-w lesions in multiple sclerosis. NeuroImage Clin. 17, 607-615. doi: 10.1016/j.nid2017.11.015

1.31 Salem, M., Valverde, S., Cabezas, M., Pareto, D., Oliver, A., Salvi, J., et al. (2020). A fully convolutional neural network for new T2-w lesion detection in multiple sclerosis. NeuroImage Clin. 25:102149. doi: 10.1016/j.nicL2019.102149

1.32 Schmidt, P., Gaser, Q, Arsic, M., Buck, D.» Forschler, A., Berthele, A., et al. (2012). An automated tool for detection of flair-hyperintense white-matter lesions in multiple sclerosis. Neuroimage 59, 3774-3783. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage2011.11.032

1.33 Schmidt, P., Pongratz, V., Küster, P., Meier, D., Wuerfel, J., Lukas, Q, et aL (2019). Automated segmentation of changes in flair-hyperintense white matter lesions in multiple sclerosis on serial magnetic resonance imaging. NeuroImage Clin. 23:101849. doi: 10.1016/j.nid.2019.101849

1.34 Shiee, N., Bazin, P.-L, Ozturk, A., Reich, D. S., Calabresi, P. A., and Pham, D. L (2010). A topology-preserving approach to the segmentation of brain images with multiple sclerosis lesions. NeuroImage 49, 1524-1535. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.09.005

1.35 Sormani, M. P., Gasperini, C.» Romeo, M., Rio, J., Calabrese, M., Cocco, E, et aL (2016). Assessing response to interferon-/? in a multicenter dataset of patients with MS. Neurology 87, 134-140. doi: 10.1212/WNLOOOOOOOOOOO 02830

1.36 Sundaresan, V., Zamboni, G.» Rothwell, P. M., Jenkinson, M., and Griffanti, L (2021). Triplanar ensemble U-Net model for white matter hyperintensities segmentation on MR images. Med. Image Anal. 73:102184. doi: 10.1016/j.mediaJ2021.102184

1.37 Thompson, A. J.» Banwell, B. L, Barkhof, F., Carroll, W. M., Coetzee, T.» Comi, G., et aL (2018). Diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: 2017 revisions of the McDonald criteria. Lancet Neurol. 17, 162-173. doi: 10.1016/SI474-4422(17) 30470-2

1.38 Van Leemput, K., Maes, F., Vandermeulen, D., Colchester, A., and Suetens, P. (2001). Automated segmentation of multiple sclerosis lesions by model outlier detection. /EEE Trans. Med. Imaging 20,677-688. doi: 10.1109/42.938237

1.39 Walker, E, and Nowacki, A. S. (2011). Understanding equivalence and noninferiority testing. /. Gen. Intern. Med. 26» 192-196. doi: 10.1007/sl 1606-010-1513-8

1.40 Wu, Z., Shen, C, and Hengel, A. v. d. (2016). Bridging category-level and instance-level semantic image segmentation. arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.06885. doi: 10.48550/arXiv. 1605.06885

1.41 Zhang, H., and Oguz, I. (2020). “Multiple sclerosis lesion segmentation-a survey of supervised CNN-based methods,” in International MICCAI Brainlesion Workshop (Lima: Springer), 11-29.

1.42 Kuijf, H. J., Biesbroek, J. M„ De Bresser, J., Heinen, R„ Andermatt, S., Bento, M„... & Biessels, G. J. (2019). Standardized assessment of automatic segmentation of white matter hyperintensities and results of the WMH segmentation challenge. IEEE transactions on medical imaging, 38(11), 2556-2568.

1.43 Mazurowski, M. A., Buda, M., Saha, A., & Bashir, M. R. (2019). Deep learning in radiology: An overview of the concepts and a survey of

the state of the art with focus on MRI. Journal of magnetic resonance imaging, 49(4), 939-954.
1.44 Fiani, B„ Pasko, K. B. D„ Sarhadi, K„ & Covarrubias, C. (2021). Current uses, emerging applications, and clinical integration of artificial intelligence in neuroradiology. Reviews in the Neurosciences.
1.45 Mann, P., Ziener, C„ Michaely, H„ Ferrera Bertrán, P„ Fenchel, M„ Opalka, J., & Lemke, A. (2020). mdbrain vs. FreeSurfer: Reproduzierbarkeits- und Performancemessungen in der Hirnvolumetrie. https://doi.Org/10.1055/S-0040-1703406
1.46 Dieckmeyer, M., Roy, A. G„ Senapati, J., Wachinger, C„ Grundl, L„ Dbpfert, J.,... & Hedderich, D. M. (2021). Effect of MRI acquisition acceleration via compressed sensing and parallel imaging on brain volumetry. Magnetic Resonance Materials in Physics, Biology and Medicine, 1-11.
1.47 Rudolph, J., Rückel, J., Dbpfert, J., Ling, X., Opalka, J., Brem, C., et al. (2021). Artificial intelligence substantially improves differential diagnosis of dementia-added diagnostic value of rapid brain volumetry. Clinical Neuroradiology, 31 (Supplement 1), 21-22.
1.48 Rakic, M„ Vercruyssen, S., Van Eyndhoven, S„ de la Rosa, E„ Jain, S„ Van Huffel, S & Sima, D. M. (2021). ¡cobrain ms 5.1: Combining unsupervised and supervised approaches for improving the detection of multiple sclerosis lesions. NeuroImage: Clinical, 31, 102707.
1.49 Bash, S„ Wang, L„ Airriess, C„ Zaharchuk, G., Gong, E„ Shankaranarayanan, A., & Tanenbaum, L. N. (2021). Deep Learning Enables 60% Accelerated Volumetric Brain MRI While Preserving Quantitative Performance: A Prospective, Multicenter, Multireader Trial. American Journal of Neuroradiology, 42(12), 2130-2137.
1.50 Pemberton, H. G., Zaki, L. A., Goodkin, O., Das, R. K„ Steketee, R. M„ Barkhof, F., & Vernooij, M. W. (2021). Technical and clinical validation of commercial automated volumetric MRI tools for dementia diagnosis—a systematic review. Neuroradiology, 63(11), 1773-1789.
1.51 van Leeuwen, K. G., Schalekamp, S., Rutten, M. J., van Ginneken, B., & de Rooij, M. (2021). Artificial intelligence in radiology: 100 commercially available products and their scientific evidence. European radiology, 31 (6), 3797-3804.
1.52 Zaki, L. A., Vernooij, M. W„ Smits, M„ Tolman, C„ Papma, J. M„ Visser, J. J., & Steketee, R. M. (2022). Comparing two artificial intelligence software packages for normative brain volumetry in memory clinic imaging. Neuroradiology, 1-8.12. Sima, D„ Wilms, G„ Vyvere, T. V., Van Hecke, W„ & Smeets, D. (2020, January). On the use of icobrain's prepopulated radiology reporting template for multiple sclerosis follow-up. European Congress of Radiology-ECR 2020.

1.53 van Winkel, S. L„ Rodríguez-Ruiz, A., Appelman, L„ Gubern-Mérida, A., Karssemeijer, N.,Teuwen, J.,... & Mann, R. M. (2021). Impact of artificial intelligence support on accuracy and reading time in breast tomosynthesis image interpretation: a multi-reader multi-case study. European Radiology, 1-10.
1.54 Martini, K„ Blüthgen, C„ Eberhard, M., Schbnenberger, A. L. N., De Martini, I., Huber, F. A & Frauenfelder, T. (2021). Impact of vessel suppressed-CT on diagnostic accuracy in detection of pulmonary metastasis and reading time. Academic radiology, 28(7), 988-994.
1.55 McDonald, W. I., Compston, A., Edan, G„ Goodkin, D„ Hartung, H. P„ Lublin, F. D & Wolinsky, J. S. (2001). Recommended diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: guidelines from the International Panel on the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis. Annals of Neurology: Official Journal of the American Neurological Association and the Child Neurology Society, 50(1), 121-127.
1.56 Polman, C. H„ Reingold, S. C„ Banwell, B„ Clanet, M„ Cohen, J. A., Filippi, M & Wolinsky, J. S. (2011). Diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: 2010 revisions to the McDonald criteria. Annals of neurology, 69(2), 292-302.
1.57 Filippi, M„ Rocca, M. A., Ciccarelli, 0., De Stefano, N., Evangelou, N„ Kappos, L.,... & MAGNIMS Study Group. (2016). MRI criteria for the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: MAGNIMS consensus guidelines. The Lancet Neurology, 15(3), 292-303.
1.58 Meissner, J.E., Fritz, T., Opalka, J., Michaely, H. & Mann, P. (2020) Characterization of MS lesions: Comparison of a new deep learning based solution with academic standard. Presentation EAN 2020
1.59 Zidan, M., Mann, P., Gnirs, R., Meissner, J.E., Paech, D., Opalka, J. & Jesser, J. (2020) Automated volumetry measurements for different field strengths – a feasibility study. Presentation EAN 2020.
1.60 Döpfert, J. & Opalka, J. (2020) mdbrain – Deep Learning in der Radiologie . Med Engineering 3: 12-13.
1.61 Notohamiprodjo, M., Krause, L., Lummel, N., Baum, T., Röttinger, M. & Kleiter, I (2021) Vergleich von zwei Software-Lösungen zur automatisierten Quantifizierung des Hirn- und Läsionsvolumens bei Multiple-Sklerose-Patienten. Fortschr Röntgenstr; 193: S25.
1.62 Meissner, J.E., Mann, P., Michaely, H., Opalka, J. & Lemke, A. Application of Deep Learning for a reliable MRI-based diagnosis for Progressive Supranuclear Palsy (PSP). Presentation ECR 2021.
1.63 Hock, S.W., Marterstock, D., Mayer, A.L., Bettray, C., Huhn, K., Rothhammer, V., Dörfler, A., Schmidt, M. (2021) Latest Artificial Intelligence Provides Fast, Accurate and Consistent Detection of Multiple Sclerosis Lesions Clinical Neuroradiology, 31(Supplement 1), 41-42.

1.64 Mayer, A.L., Mennecke, A., Hock, S.W., Marterstock, D., Rösch, J., Hamer, H., Kasper, B., Dörfler, A.., Schmidt, M. (2021) KI-basierte Volumetriealgorithmen zur Unterstützung bei der bildgebenden Epilepsiediagnostik. Clinical Neuroradiology, 31(Supplement 1), 29-30.
1.65 Aruci, M.,Dünnwald, M., Schreiber, F., Sciarra, A., Maass, A., Schreiber, S., Oeltze-Jafra, S. (2021) Challenging cases for WMH segmentation comparatively processed by seven automated methods. Clinical Neuroradiology, 31(Supplement 1), 40-41.
1.66 Mittenentzwei, S., Sciarra, A., Lüsebrink, F., Aruci, M., Ulbrich, P., Schreiber, F., Lemke, A., Meuschke, A., Preim, B., Schreiber, S., Oeltze-Jafra, S. (2021) Visual analysis of brain lesion load in patients with cerebral small vessel disease. Clinical Neuroradiology, 31(Supplement 1), 41-42.
1.67 Klail T., Radojewski P. (Inselspital Bern): AI-Assistant in the MRI-based Diagnostics of Small Intracranial Aneurysms. Poster@SCR2022
1.68 Dalbis T, Grilo J, Hitziger S, Ling WX, Opalka JR, Lemke A: Deep learning-based detection and segmentation of new MS lesions using optimized merging of orientations. iPoster@ECR2022
1.69 Opalka J, Ferrera Bertran P, Lemke A: mdbrain vs. FreeSurfer & SPM: Repeatability and performance of different methods for brain volumetry. Presentation@ECR2022
1.70 Dalbis T, Grilo J, Hitziger S, Ling WX, Opalka JR, Lemke A: Segmentation of MS Lesions Accuracy of mdbrain 4.5 versus a pool of human experts. Presentation@ECR2022
1.71 Albert J, Fernandez M, Thauerer M, Egger K, Gärtner F, Peters S, Hock S, Trautmann D, Opalka J: Real-life evaluation of the AI-based neuroradiology suite mdbrain. Presentation@ECR2022
1.72 Dalbis T, Albert J, Fernandez M, Thauerer M, Egger K, Gärtner F, Peters S, Hock S, Schmidt MA, Trautmann D, Opalka J, Zahn T: Evaluation of the AI-based neuroradiology system mdbrain in a real-life setting. Submitted to European Radiology 10/2022
1.73 Dalbis T, Fritz T, Grilo J, Hitziger S, Ling WX (2022) Triplanar U-Net with Lesion-wise Voting for the Segmentation of New Lesions on Longitudinal MRI Studies. Front. Neurosci. 16:964250
1.74 Lehnen NC, Haase R, Schmeel C, Dorn D, Radbruch A, Paech D (2022) Automated Detection of Cerebral Aneurysms on TOF-MRA Using a Deep Learning Approach: An External Validation Study. American Journal of Neuroradiology 43 (12) 1700-1705
1.75 Kromrey ML, Grothe S, Nell C, Rosenberg B (2022): Künstliche Intelligenz in der Radiologie. Radiologie up2date. 22 (2): 121–136
1.76 Rudolph, J.; Rueckel, J.; Döpfert, J.; Ling, W.; Opalka, J.; Brem, C. ; Hesse, N.; Ingenerf, M.; Koliogiannis, V.; Solyanik, O.; Zimmermann,

H.; Flatz, W.; Forbrig, R.; Patzig, M.; Rauchmann, B.; Perneczky, R., ; Peters, O.; Priller, J.; Schneider, A.; Fliessbach, K.; Hermann, A.; Wiltfang, J.; Jessen, F.; Düzel, E.; Bürger, K.; Teipel, S.; Laske, C.; Synofzik, M.; Spottke, A.; Ewers, M.; Dechent, P.; Haynes, J. D.; Liebig, T.; Ricke, J.; Ingrisch, M.; Stoeöcklein, S.: Artificial intelligence substantially improves differential diagnosis of dementia – Added diagnostic value of rapid brain volumetry. To be submitted 12/2022

1.77 Lehnen NC, Haase R, Schmeel C, Dorn D, Radbruch A, Paech D (2022) Automatisierte Detektion zerebraler Aneurysmen mit einer KI-Software. Clin Neuroradiol (2022) (Suppl 1) 32:S53.

1.78 Fagotti, C.; Caldarelli, G.; Mancini, S.; Bellini, M.; Bruno, F.; Spendiani, A.; Masciocchi, M.: Concordanza tra segmentazione manuale e segmentazione automatica delle placche de sclerosis multipla effettuata da diversi lettori su immagini die risonanza magnetica ache tramite l'utilizzo die un software die intelligenza artificiale. Poster@AINR2022

1.79 Fagotti, C.; Caldarelli, G.; Borea, F.; Martino, S.; Bruno, A.; Catalucci, A.; Spendiani, A.; Masciocchi, M.: Analisi delle volumetrie cerebrali in pazienti con tremore essentiale (TE) e Parkinson tremorigeno (PD) sottoposti ad ablazione del VIM con MRgFUS. Poster@AINR2022

1.80 Bruno, F. et al. (2023) Evaluation of Cerebral Volume Changes in Patients with Tremor Treated by MRgFUS Thalamotomy. Life. 13, 16

1.81 Haase, R. et al. (2023) Quantitative Neuroimaging: Automated Brain Volumetry in Patients with Huntington's Disease submitted 12/2022 to Neurology

1.82 Bendella Z, Widmann CN, Layer JP, Layer YL, Haase R, Sauer M, Bieler L, Lehnen NC, Paech D, Heneka MT, Radbruch A, Schmeel FC. Brain Volume Changes after COVID-19 Compared to Healthy Controls by Artificial Intelligence-Based MRI Volumetry. Diagnostics. 2023; 13(10):1716.

1.83 Rechtman, A., Brill, L., Zveik, O., Uliel, B., Haham, N., Bick, A. S., Levin, N., & Vaknin Dembinsky, A. (2022). Volumetric Brain Loss Correlates With a Relapsing MOGAD Disease Course. Frontiers in Neurology, 13(March), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2022.867190

1.84 Veronika Purrer, Emily Pohl, Julia M Lueckel, Valeri Borger, Malte Sauer, Alexander Radbruch, Ullrich Wüllner, Frederic Carsten Schmeel, Artificial-intelligence-based MRI brain volumetry in patients with essential tremor and tremor-dominant Parkinson's disease, Brain Communications, Volume 5, Issue 6, 2023, fcad271, https://doi.org/10.1093/braincomms/fcad271

1.85 Changes in MRI Workflow of Multiple Sclerosis after Introduction of an AI-software: a qualitative study

1.86 Lehnen, N.C., Schievelkamp, AH., Gronemann, C. et al. Impact of an AI software on the diagnostic performance and reading time for the detection of cerebral aneurysms on time of flight MR-angiography. Neuroradiology (2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00234-024-03351-w

1.87 Winkel, D. J., Tong, A., Lou, B,, Kamen, A., Comaniciu, D,, Disselhorst, J. A & Boll, D. T. (2021). A novel deep learning based computer-aided diagnosis system improves the accuracy and efficiency of radiologists in reading biparametric magnetic resonance images of the prostate: results of a multireader, multicase study. Investigative Radiology, 56(10), 605-613.

1.88 Development,multi-institutional external validation, and algorithmic audit of an artificial intelligence-based Side-specific Extra-Prostatic Extension Risk Assessment tool for patients undergoing radical prostatectomy: a retrospective cohort study. The Lancet Digital Health Vol 5, No. 7, e445, Published May 19,2023 Jetro CC Kwong, Adree Khondker, Eric Meng, Nicholas Taylor, Cynthia Kuk, Nathan Perlis, and others.

1.89 An integrated nomogram combining deep learning, Prostate Imaging-Reporting and Data System (PI-RADS) scoring, and clinical variables for identification of clinically significant prostate cancer on biparametric MRI: a retrospective multicentre study. The Lancet Digital Health, Vol 3, No 7, e445-e454, Published July, 2021. Amogh Hiremath, Rakesh Shiradkar, Pingfu Fu, Amr Mahran, Adeshir R Rastinehad, Ashutosh Tewari

1.90 Application os a novel machine learning framework for predicting non-metastatic prostate cancer-specific mortality in men using the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results database. The Lancet Digital Health, Vol 3, No 3, e158-e165, Published February 3, 2021. Changhee Lee, Alexander Light, Ahmed Alaa, David Thurtle, Mihaela van der Schaar, Vincent Gnanapragasam

1.91 An artificial intelligence algorithm for prostate cancer diagnosis in whole slide images of core needle biopsies: a blinded clinical validation and deployment study. The Lancet Digital Health, Vol 2, No 8, e407-e416, Published August, 2020. Liron Pantanowitz, Gabriel M Quiroga-Garza, Lilach Bien, Ronen Heled, Daphna Laifenfeld, Chaim Linhart.

1.92 Clinical Deployment of AI for prostate cancer diagnosis. The Lancet Digital Health, Vol 2, No 8, e383-e384, Published August, 2020. Andrew Janowczyk, Patrick Leo, Mark A Rubin